PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh. Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail [– psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:–%20scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Priya Krishan (76966-90378),

s/o Shri Satya Varat Gaur,

H.No. 151, Ward No. 7, Tian Wala Chowk,

Sanaur, District Patiala. …Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O General Manager,

PRTC, Patiala Depot,

Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Chief Accounts Officer,

Head Office, PRTC, Patiala. ….. Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 953 of 2018**

Present: Shri Priya Krishan, appellant in person.

Shri Suresh Kumar, Superintendent-cum-PIO and Shri Gian Chand, Senior Assistant, on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

Today, both the parties are present. The appellant says that no information has been provided till date.

2. The respondent-PIO states that the record is very old and is not readily available with the PIO and seeks an adjournment to trace out the relevant record and to provide the information to the appellant.

3. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for further hearing on **01-08-2018 at 11:00 AM.**

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 26-06 -2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com))

Shri Raman Goklany s/o Sh. Raj Kumar,

House No. 277, Phase-6, SAS Nagar-160055.

Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Managing Director,

PRTC, Nabha Road, Patiala. Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 817 of 2017**

Present: None on behalf of complainant.

Shri Gian Chand, Senior Assistant, on behalf of respondent.

**ORDER:**

The complainant is absent without any intimation to the Commission on the second time consecutively.

2. The representative of respondent reiterates his earlier stand that as directed by the Court, the advocate for the complainant has not come up for the inspection of record till date.

3. Last opportunity is given to the complainant to follow up his case in the Commission failing which it shall be presumed that he does not want to pursue his case further. Therefore, the case is adjourned for further hearing on **01-08-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, (Hem Inder Singh)

Dated: 26-06-2018 State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Narinderjeet Singh,

s/o Shri Gurcharan Singh,

Vill: Jassowal, PO: Sidhuwal, Distt.Patiala. ……. Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,

O/o Managing Director, PRTC,

Nabha Road, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Accounts Officer, PRTC,

Nabha Road, Patiala. .…Respondents

**Appeal Case No.2402 of 2017**

Present: Shri Narinderjeet Singh, appellant, in person.

Shri Gian Chand, Senior Assistant, on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

Both the parties are present.

2. The representative of respondent submits the information in the Court which is handed over to the appellant.

3. As the information stands supplied, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 26-06-2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Kanwar Ajay Singh Cheema, Advocate,

Chamber No. 172, District Courts Complex,

Bathinda. …..Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/O Vice Chancellor, Central University of Punjab,

Mansa Road, Near Under Bridge, Bathinda. …. Respondent.

**COMPLAINT CASE NO. 898 of 2017.**

Present: None on behalf of complainant.

Ms. Shweta Arora, PIO, on behalf of respondent.

**ORDER:**

The respondent-PIO states in the Court that the information has already been supplied to the complainant twice.

2. The complainant is absent and nothing has been heard from him about the supplied information.

3. As this is a complaint case and the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP (c) No. 32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.

4. In the instant case the respondent have supplied the information, therefore, the case is ordered to be **disposed of and closed.**

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 26-06-2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Krishan Pal s/o Sh. Maan Singh,

VPO: Barwala, Distt. Panchkula (Haryana).

Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Secretary,

Regional Transport Authority, Patiala. Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1222 of 2017**

Present: Shri Krishan Pal, complainant in person.

Shri Parmod Kumar, Data Entry Operator, on behalf of respondent.

**ORDER:**

Both the parties are present in the court.

2. The representative of respondent submits information in the Court which is handed over to the complainant.

3. As this is a complaint case and the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP (c) No. 32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.

4. In the instant case the respondent have supplied the information, therefore, the case is ordered to be **disposed of and closed.**

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, (Hem Inder Singh)

Dated 26-06-2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Shekhar Arvind Lonkar,

Z-1, Megha Terrace Wireless Colony,

AUDH, PUNE. …Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Registrar,

Births & Deaths,

Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar. …Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 849 of 2017**

Present: None on behalf of both the parties.

**ORDER:**

Today, both the parties are absent.

2. On the last date of hearing, the respondent PIO was directed to supply the information to the complainant either in English or in Hindi Language, as the complainant had brought to the notice of the Commission that he did not know Punjabi language. None is present on behalf of respondent therefore, it cannot be ascertained whether the PIO has supplied the information, as above mentioned, or not.

3. The respondent is directed to be present on the next date of hearing and the case is adjourned for further hearing on **07-08-2018 at 12.30 PM.**

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, (Hem Inder Singh)

Dated: 26-06-2018 State Information Commissioner

CC:- Executive Officer, Municipal Council,

Adampur Doaba, District Jalandhar.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Manmohan Singh

S/o Shri Balwant Singh, …Complainant

Adampur Doaba, Distt. Jalandhar.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Executive Officer,

Municipal Council, Adampur Doaba,

Distt. Jalandhar. … Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1207 of 2017**

Present: Shri Manmohan Singh, complainant in person.

None on behalf of respondent.

**ORDER:**

The complainant states that the respondent have supplied incomplete information. As none is present on behalf of respondent for the second time consecutively, he is directed to be present on the next date of hearing. In case of non appearance, strict action will be initiated against the respondent PIO under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. Adjourned.

2. The matter to come up now on **07-08-2018 at 12.30 PM.**

3. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 26-06-2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Makhan Singh (94641-32390),

S/o Sh. Jang Singh,

VPO Mashana, Block Sangat,

Tehsil Talwandi, District Bathinda. ….Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/O Senior Medical Officer,

Sangat.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Civil Surgeon, Bathinda. …Respondents.

**APPEAL CASE NO. 41 of 2017**

Present: Sh. Makhan Singh along with Shri Surjeet Singh on behalf of appellant.

Dr. Harmit Singh, Medical Officer and Shri Lovish Kumar, Clerk, on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

Today both the parties are present.

2. Heard both the parties and after discussion the appellant is directed to deposit the amount of Rs. 2090/- as fee for getting the information. The respondents are directed to supply the complete information under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 to the appellant, after the appellant deposits the fee, before the next date of hearing by registered post. Adjourned.

3. To come up for further hearing on **07-08-2018 at 12.30 PM.**

4. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 26-06-2018 State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh. Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail [– psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:–%20scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Tarsem Lal Jindal (98551-51985),

Neeli Chatri Wala,

House No. 306, Aastha Colony, Dhanola,

Road, Barnala. …Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O District Revenue Officer,

Deputy Commissioner,

Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Additional Deputy Commissioner,

Hoshiarpur. ….. Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 1053 of 2018**

Present: None is present on behalf of appellant.

Shri Kamaldeep Kumar, Bill Clerk on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

Shri Kamaldeep Kumar, Bill Clerk appearing on behalf of respondents submits a letter dated 25.06.2018 from the Sub Registrar, Hoshiarpur stating therein that the information has already been supplied to the appellant by registered post on 07.12.2017.

2. The appellant is absent for the second time consecutively. He is directed to be present on the next date of hearing and in case of non appearance it will be assumed that he is satisfied with the supplied information and the case will be decided on merit. Adjourned.

3. The matter to come up on **07-08-2018 at 12:30 PM.**

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated:26-06 -2018. State Information Commissioner

CC:- Tehsildar, Hoshiarpur.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Manjinder Singh,

House No. 699, Block-1, BRS Nagar,

Ludhiana- 141012. …Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,

Jalandhar. ….Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 810 of 2017**

Present: Shri Manjinder Singh, complainant, in person.

Shri Darbara Singh, PCS and Shri Sarbjit Kumar, Junior Assistant on behalf of respondent.

**ORDER:**

Today, both the parties are present.

2. The respondent submits a demand draft dated 25.06.2018 amounting to Rs. 5000/- on account of payment of compensation to the complainant and the same is handed over to the complainant.

3. Shri Darbara Singh, PCS, Secretary, RTA, Jalandhar submits his written explanation, which is placed in the Case file, with regard to the imposition of penalty and for the delay in providing the information.

4. To come up on **07-08-2018 at 12:30 PM for orders.**

5. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, (Hem Inder Singh)

Dated: 26-06-2018 State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh. Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail [– psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:–%20scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Harvinder Singh (98724-03275),

s/o Late Shri Mohinder Singh,

R/o 5330, Street No.2, New Shivani Nagar,

Ludhiana. …Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Punjab Police Academy,

Phillaur, District Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Punjab Police Academy,

Phillaur, District Jalandhar. ….. Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 1003 of 2018**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

Shri Gurpreet Singh, Inspector and Shri Amarjit Singh, ASI on behalf of

respondents.

**ORDER:**

The representatives of respondents are present in the court who state that the sought information has already been supplied to the appellant.

2. Though the appellant is not present in the court, but a letter dated 15-06-2018 is received from him, which is placed in the case file, in which the appellant has stated that he has received the information and has requested the court to close the case.

3. As the information stands supplied, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated:26-06 -2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Lachhman Singh s/o Sh. Chand Singh,

Village: Kularan, Tehsil Samana,

Distt. Patiala- 147101. …Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Executive Engineer, Construction Division,

PWD(B&R), Patiala.

First Appellate Authority, … Respondents

O/O Executive Engineer, Construction Division,

PWD(B&R), Patiala.

**Appeal Case No. 2265 of 2017**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

Shri Harpreet Singh Kataria, SDO, on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

The representative of respondents states that the information has already been supplied to the appellant.

2. The appellant is absent for the second time consecutively. He is directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing. In case of non appearance by the appellant, it will be assumed that he is satisfied with the supplied information and the case will be decided on merit. Adjourned.

3. To come up for further hearing on **08-08-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 26-06-2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh. Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail [– psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:–%20scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Surinder Kumar s/o Shri Chiman Lal,

Near Akal Academy,

Sri Muktsar Sahib-152026. …Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Executive Engineer, PWD (Provincial Division),

B&R, Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Superintendent Engineer, Construction Circle,

PWD B&R, Bathinda. . ….. Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 950 of 2018**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

Shri Chander Mohan Singla, JE, on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

Shri Chander Mohan Singla, appearing on behalf of respondents states in the court that the appellant was asked to deposit the fee for getting the information but the appellant has not deposited the demanded fee till date. Therefore, the information could not be supplied to the appellant.

2. The appellant is absent. However, a letter dated 07-06-2018 is received in the Commission office from the appellant in which he has written that the respondents asked him to deposit the fee for information after a gap of 71 days, therefore, the respondents may be directed to supply the information free of cost.

3. The representative of respondents states that the respondents had asked him to deposit the fee vide letter dated 29-12-2017 after the receipt of his RTI application dated 25-12-2017, which is well in time as per RTI Act, 2005, provisions.

4. As the appellant is not present in the court, he is directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing and to deposit the demanded fee with the respondents for getting the information. Adjourned.

5. To come up for further hearing on **08-08-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 26-06 -2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh. Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail [– psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:–%20scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Kuldip Raj Kaila, (98726-80922),

196/10, Kainthan, Dasuya-144205,

District Hoshiarpur. …Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Deputy Inspector General of Police,

Jalandhar Zone, Police Lines,

Jalandhar City.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Deputy Inspector General of Police,

Jalandhar Zone, Police Lines,

Jalandhar City. ….. Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3559 of 2017**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

Shri Gurminder Singh and Shri Nirmal Singh, S.Is on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

The representatives of respondents state that the sought information has already been supplied to the appellant vide letters dated 26-09-2017, 27-11-2017 and 22-02-2018 through registered post.

2. The appellant is absent for the third time consecutively. On the last date of hearing, the appellant was directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing and to point out deficiencies, if any, in the provided information. But today also he is not present in the court nor he has pointed out deficiencies in the provided information to the respondents.

3. Shri Gurminder Singh, S.I., Incharge, RTI Cell submits a written explanation in the court, which is placed in the case file, in which he has written that the sought information has already been supplied thrice by registered post and nothing has been heard from the appellant in this regard and requests the court to close the case.

4 Accordingly, the case is ordered to be **disposed of and closed.**

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, (Hem Inder Singh)

Dated: 26-06-2018 State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh. Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail [– psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:–%20scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Kuldip Raj Kaila, (98726-80922),

196/10, Kainthan, Dasuya-144205,

District Hoshiarpur. …Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Senior Superintendent of Police, (Rural)

Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Senior Superintendent of Police, (Rural)

Jalandhar. ….. Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3561 of 2017**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

Shri Ashwani Kumar, S.I., and Shri Balkar Singh, ASI on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

The representatives of respondents reiterate their earlier stand that the application dated 28-01-2017 purported to be submitted by the appellant in the Police Station, Shahkot, has not been received in the Police Station, Shahkot on 28-01-2017, therefore the sought information could not be supplied to him.

2. The appellant is absent for the third time consecutively. However a letter dated 30-05-2018 is received in the Commission office from the appellant in which he has written as "deemed refusal".

3. Shri Balkar Singh, ASI, Police Station, Shahkot submits a letter in the court, which is taken on record. In the said letter, it is written that the sought information has already been supplied to the appellant on 21-02-2018 by registered post and the challan in case No. 194, dated 21-05-2016 has been put in the court of Shri Hasandeep Singh Bajwa, JMIC, Nakodar, and has been fixed for hearing on 20-09-2018. If the appellant wants any record or papers, he can apply to the prosecution Ahalmad of court of Shri Hasandeep Singh Bajwa, JMIC at Nakodar for getting the same.

4. As the case has been put into court and a reply has already been sent to the appellant, the case is ordered to be **disposed of and closed.**

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, (Hem Inder Singh)

Dated: 26-06-2018 State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Lakhvir Kumar s/o Sh. Jaspal Ram,

Village: Gandwa, Tehsil : Phagwara,

Distt. Kapurthala. …Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Executive Engineer, (Electrical Division),

Punjab, PWD (B&R), Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Superintending Engineer,( Electrical), Punjab,

PWD, B&R, Chandigarh. …Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2273 of 2017**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

Shri Harkaran Preet Singh, JE, on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

On the last date of hearing on 11-10-2017 the respondents had requested the court that as the sought for information was voluminous, the appellant should be directed to come to their office for inspection of the record and to identify the documents which he wanted. The appellant was not present in the court. However, he appeared in the court on 11-10-2017 after the hearing was over. He was apprised of the court proceedings and was directed to go to the office of respondents for the inspection of record and to identify the documents which he wanted. But, according to the statement of representative of respondents, he did not turn up for inspection even after a lapse of 8 months' time.

2. The appellant is absent for the fourth time consecutively. Taking the attitude of the appellant in view, an inference can easily be drawn that he is not interested in getting the information. Therefore, the case is ordered to be **disposed of and closed** for want of proper pursuance.

3. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 26-06-2018. State Information Commissioner